The General Public of England Treaty.

Considering English law's based on England's constitution, have you ever asked; *how much has the English Public contributed to the English constitution*?

Which turns out to be uncodified, meaning; there isn't one quintessential, stable constitution like Americas', but many, implying the English Constitution is an open agreement; split over numerous lawful contributions, most of which can be found prerogative(ly) entrenched in the multitude of legal statutes executed by the state; of England; voted in and thus perpetuated by the General Public though, not necessarily a majority...

The Magna Charta is a part of England's constitution; established by barons and a king; where's the chapter including the treatise conducted by the English Public?

The Bill of Rights was written and agreed to by a small minority of bureaucrats and a monarch; which extract was solemnly decreed by the General Public of England?

Regarding any of the English statutes; which one includes the English Public's specific stipulations.

I hope to be proved wrong about this next statement:

It appears the English Public are yet to contribute anything to their own constitution; what we appear to do is follow a system perpetuating those we deem our authority, who continue to write their own treatise; that governs in such away as not to allow England's General Public to stipulate conditions first hand; it's always through a middle-party or MP.

Our system of law, based on different parts of England's scattered constitutions date back over 1,500 years to around the sixth century, when a Roman leader ordained the Justinian Code; a radical shake up of hundreds of years of Roman statutes organised in a fairer way; depending; from which angle you're determining.

This particular western style law system went quiet after the Romans retired until around the eleventh century when Italy brought it back to life, the same law system we follow today. Little wonder there are so many laws and treaties to date.

You know how some can prophecy future happenings?

Some comment on George Orwell in this way...it's rarely mentioned he could've as easily been a ghost writer or shill.?! 1984; being someone else's vision of the future, paying Mr. Orwell to deliver a story based on their outline.?!

Day-dreamers imagine things; one that springs to mind is a vision I don't think's been done; if it has then, not for a long time...

The day-dream goes like this; considerate credible law representatives draw up a treaty; on behalf of the English General Public, defining exactly how they want to lawfully conduct their lives into the future: a legal document constituting a fresh constitution, representing the new, local order; establishing the General Public of England as a defined, refreshed, reasonable nation.

Britannica provide a comprehensive understanding of a treaty, as do ipleaders: thank you.

How does an English dictionary define..?

treaty

1. (Government, Politics & Diplomacy)
a. a formal agreement or contract between two or more states, such as an alliance or trade arrangement
b. the document in which such a contract is written
2. (Government, Politics & Diplomacy) any international agreement
3. any pact or agreement
4. (Commerce) an agreement between two parties concerning the purchase of property at a price privately agreed between them
5. *archaic* negotiation towards an agreement
6. (Government, Politics & Diplomacy) (in Canada)
a. any of the formal agreements between Indian bands and the federal government by which the Indians surrender their land rights in return for various forms of aid
b. (*as modifier*): treaty Indians; treaty money.
7. an obsolete word for entreaty
[C14:from Old French *traité*, fromMedievalLatin*tractātus*treaty,fromLatin:discussion,from*tractāre* to manage; see treat]
ˈ**treatyless** *adj*
Collins English Dictionary – CompleteandUnabridged,12thEdition2014 © HarperCollinsPublishers1991,1994,1998,2000,2003,2006,2007,2009,2011,2014.

Collins' diction, along with other corporate publishers don't seem to be bothered about grammatically ending sentences; wonder how they justify any words they publish; to stop.?!

There are several law reps' online who are on it, lawfully assured in their knowledge and experience, able to prove it from successful, lawful results. Respect to you all.

Based on a personal comprehension of democracy; if/when the English Public (or any nationality) agree to what's written on their own bespoke drawn-up treaty, (on mass: unsure as to how many a mass makes?) outlining, as conscientiously as possible our choice of laws, customs, conditions, stipulations, etc. ordaining our own Public Lawful Declaration as a fundamental foundation for us to live by; taking full responsibility for ourselves.?!

Wouldn't this potentially offer a greater sense of self-respect, responsibility and practical control over our own lives, instead of having to always consider the latest establishment's chaos factor.?!

These imaginings require a state; of being; in control of our destiny, with a clear view of how things can change to benefit many without hindering our localities, its surrounding countryside; a clarified vision of a practical future development; not just a mindset, programmed to accept what turns out to be unacceptable in retrospect.

Sense the indubitable meaning of this treaty. It'll probably mean going back in time.

Once this treaty is live, considering a sliver of respect for their equivalent measure of officialdom, the next stage, once enough agree to this Public Treaty is to deliver said declaration(s) to the present official head of the General Public.?!

It's here we might consider our true standing; with regard our own confidence in sovereignty...

Mmm...how is freedom measured?

Usually, with an unbendable ruler... unless compromised…

Yep. Are their rules in line with your measure of what's free and what isn't?

It's irresistible not to consider how this Public Treaty's going to be received by, what is supposedly portrayed as a public servant: our king.?!

What of their royal reaction?

Some will point out this doesn't matter; we're treading the official path when we can remain on our own standing; the acknowledgment of natural law that decrees only a creator (of this world) stands above us, the General Public.

Though, it appears some drift above their own law...

Even though their interpretation of prerogative powers have been switched (ordained by the present monarch, diversified to the present cabinet officers), the king of England technically decides whether or not to accept, deny or negotiate a treaty: agreement; to this one's knowledge... The monarch has duties regarding their Public; officially obligated.?!

Being obvious, the king'll probably chuck it in to a roaring fire; officially declaring our Public Treaty unofficial.

Forgive the pessimism, can anyone conjure a vision where the king of England (and advisors) agree..?

Holding us firmly in the grip of negotiation.

3 inevitabilities... All can come true.

Not only does negotiation represent 1 of the 3, it includes yes and no too = 3 inclusive choices.

When considering a better future, can any General Public stand for anything less.?!

Decipher the code.?!

C.Kin